

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/048,212	(	06/07/2002	Atsushi Miyamoto	Q68293	4780	
23373	7590	06/06/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE	•		COOK, LISA V			
SUITE 800	SYLVANI	A AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				1641		

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/0	48,212	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	an and Na Ma		
	Office Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit			
		Lisa	V. Cook	1641			
Period fo	· ·						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- p period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat- tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In inication. utory period will apply vill, by statute, cause the status of the country of the	F THIS COMMU no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mane application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 17 March 2	006.		:		
′=	•	b) This action			1		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex par</i> te	e Quayle, 1935 C	D.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	****		
Dienositi	ion of Claims				2000		
			·				
•	Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9 and 10</u> is/are pendin						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.	e williorawn iror	n consideration.				
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,4-6, 9 and 10 is/are rejected.	ad					
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or electi	ion requirement.				
٥,۵	<u> </u>						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			••		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accepted o	or b) objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing	g(s) be held in abe	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		•		)		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	r. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				****		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority	v under 35 II S C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
	All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	or foreign prioni	y dilaci oo o.c.c	. 3 113(a)-(a) 51 (1).			
۵٫۱	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have	been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority d			Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies o						
	application from the Internation	,		C			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the	certified copies n	ot received.			
Attachmen	1(e)				**		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT		Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)	5)  Notice ( 6)  Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7° -		

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212

Art Unit: 1641

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Amendment Entry

- 1. Applicants response to the Office Action mailed November 17, 2005 is acknowledged (paper filed 3/17/06). In the amendment filed therein, claims numbered 1 and 6 were modified. Claims 2, 3, 7, and 8 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Currently, claims 1, 4-6, and 9-10 are pending and under consideration.
- 2. Objections and/or rejection of record not reiterated herein have been withdrawn.

# NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION NECESSITATED BY AMENDMENT

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212

Art Unit: 1641

I. Claims 1, 4, 6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter et al. (Int. Arch. Allergy, 36 354-375, 1969) in view of Dosa et al. (Immunology, 1979, 38, pages 509-517) and further in view of Shinoda et al. (Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi, 1991, 32 Suppl.2 Proc. Annu. Meet. Jpn. Soc. Med. Mycol. 34<sup>th</sup> 1990, pages 83-93).

Hunter et al. teach agglutination procedures to measure antibody-antigen binding. In one embodiment, pepsin treated antibodies are coupled to BSA (protease treated BSA) and use to measure antigen interaction via agglutination. See pepsin of F(ab)2 fragments and 7S on page 356; page 363. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) is proven useful in being coupled to reagents while the reagent binding ability in agglutination procedures is maintained. See page 361 number 2 and table IV.

Hunter et al. are silent with respect to the pepsin digest rendering fragmented BSA.

However, Dosa et al. disclose the effect of peptic degradation on the immunological and antigenic properties of bovine serum albumin (BSA). See abstract. BSA was digested with pepsin and the fluorescence-binding efficiency evaluated. The BSA fragments obtained from a digest did not form BSA-anti-BSA immune complexes (see page 511-512) and did not interact with B cells (see page 516, 1<sup>st</sup> column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). The systematic degradation of BSA with pepsin provided an excellent model for investigating the function and nature of different antigenic determinants present on protein antigens. Page 515, 2<sup>nd</sup> column – Discussion.

Hunter et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the fragmented BSA produced from pepsin digestion.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212

Art Unit: 1641

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to degrade BSA with pepsin thereby producing fragmented BSA because Dosa et al. taught that the systematic degradation of BSA with pepsin provided an excellent model for investigating the function and nature of different antigenic determinants present on protein antigens. Page 515, 2<sup>nd</sup> column – Discussion.

Hunter et al. in view of Dosa et al. differ from the instant invention in not specifically teaching the utility of latex particles carrying an antibody or antigen specifically reactive with the analyte of interest.

Shinoda et al. teach this limitation. Specifically, Shinoda et al. disclose agglutination tests to measure cryptococcal antigens. The test utilizes a protease treated serum or cerebrospinal fluid sample and a sensitized latex suspension (particles coated with anti-Cryptococcal). The antigen was detectable in soluble immune complexes. The latex assay was sensitive and useful in patient sample evaluations (meningitis, pulmonary cryptococcosis, and cutaneous cryptococcosis). The protease pretreatment of the serum was useful in reducing false positive and false negative results. See abstract.

It would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use a latex assay as taught by Shinoda et al. in the BSA protease pretreatment method of Hunter et al. in view of Dosa et al. because Shinoda et al. taught that the latex assay was sensitive and useful in patient sample evaluations (meningitis, pulmonary cryptococcosis, and cutaneous cryptococcosis). Further, the protease pretreatment was useful in reducing false positive and false negative results in the latex assay. See abstract.

Art Unit: 1641

II. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunter et al. (Int. Arch. Allergy, 36 354-375, 1969) in view of Dosa et al. (Immunology, 1979, 38, pages 509-517) and further in view of Shinoda et al. (Nippon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi, 1991, 32 Suppl.2 Proc. Annu. Meet. Jpn. Soc. Med. Mycol. 34<sup>th</sup> 1990, pages 83-93) as applied to claims 1, 4, 6, and 9 above, and further in view of Nakase et al. (JP 48019719 Abstract Only).

Please see Hunter et al. in view of Dosa et al. and further in view of Shinoda et al. as set forth above. Hunter et al. in view of Dosa et al. and further in view of Shinoda et al. disclose the reagent combination involving protease treatment in combination with BSA and antigen/antibody coated latex particles. However, Hunter et al. in view of Dosa et al. and further in view of Shinoda et al. do not teach the use of these reagents for anti-streptolysin O antibodies.

Nakase et al. disclose that the addition of BSA (bovine serum albumin) to streptolysin O stabilizes streptolysin O and allows streptolysin O to maintain its activity. See abstract.

Therefore, it would have been <u>prima facie</u> obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to take the protease treatment in combination with BSA and antigen/antibody coated latex particles detection reagents as taught by Hunter et al. in view of Dosa et al. and further in view of Shinoda et al. and utilize them in turbidity measurements for anti-streptolysin O antibodies/antigen assays because Nakase et al. disclose that the addition of BSA (bovine serum albumin) to streptolysin O stabilizes streptolysin O and allow streptolysin O to maintain its activity. See abstract.

### Response to Arguments

Applicants contends that the combination of references under 35 USC 103 did not make the invention obvious with respect to pepsin fragmented BSA. This argument was carefully considered but not found persuasive because Dosa et al. disclose pepsin fragmented BSA. Dosa et al. disclose the effect of peptic degradation on the immunological and antigenic properties of bovine serum albumin (BSA). See abstract. The systematic degradation of BSA with pepsin provided an excellent model for investigating the function and nature of different antigenic determinants present on protein antigens. Page 515, 2<sup>nd</sup> column – Discussion.

- 4. For reasons aforementioned, no claims are allowed.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212

Art Unit: 1641

#### Remarks

- 6. Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure:
- A. Masson et al. (EPO 0 061 857 A1) disclose pepsin digestion to eliminate protein interferences. See page 8 lines 25 through 30.
- 7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 1641 Central Fax number is (571) 273-8300, which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week. In the event Applicant would like to fax an unofficial communication, the Examiner should be contacted for the appropriate Right Fax number.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa V. Cook whose telephone number is (571) 272-0816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le, can be reached on (571) 272-0823.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group TC 1600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,212 Page 8

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lisa V. Cook

Remsen 3C-59

(571) 272-0816

5/18/06

LONG V. LE of /w/ of SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600